

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/523,302 | HUGHES, JONATHAN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | /Peter A. Hruskoci/ | 1797 | |

All Participants:

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/

(2) Shiela A. Loggins

Date of Interview: 26 August 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the Prior Office Action dated 3/18/08

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claim 1 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 8-11 of the instant specification.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)